

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17955 of 2017

=====

Dr. Girijesh Nandan Kumar, Son of Shri Harnandan Sharma, Shivendu Enclave, Flat No. 201, Abhiyanata Nagar, Ram Nagari Road, P.S. Rajiv Nagar, Patna- 25.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Higher Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. Magadh University, Bodh Gaya through its Registrar.
5. The Vice- Chancellor, Magadh University, Bodha Gaya.
6. The Registrar, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
7. The Finance Officer, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
8. The Principal Jagat Narain Lal College, Khagaul, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh, Advocate
Mr. Ranvijay Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Madhaw Prasad Yadav -Gp23
Mr. Rajesh Kumar Singh, AC to GP-23

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR
UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

2 08-12-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

The grievance of the petitioner in the instant writ
application is for payment of arrears of salary.

Counsel for the University submits that the entire
administration of the University has been paralyzed on account of
strike of ministerial staff of the University.

Considering the nature of grievance of the petitioner
i.e. payment of difference of salary from January, 2013 to



February, 2016, the petitioner is directed to file a detailed representation before the Vice-Chancellor of the University within a period one month from the date of restoration of normalcy in the University i.e. after strike is called off.

In case, such representation is filed before respondent no.5, Vice-Chancellor, Magadh University, within the aforesaid period, the Vice-Chancellor will look into the grievance of the petitioner and examine the record and thereafter pass reasoned speaking order and also ensure payment of arrears of salary to the petitioner if admissible within the period of 60 days from the date of filing of such representation.

It is made clear that this court has not expressed any opinion on the claim of the petitioner for payment of arrears of salary.

With the aforesaid observation, the writ application is disposed of

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

