

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.1523 of 2016

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18541 of 2013

=====

Ram Chandra Lal Son of Late Shatrughan Lal Resident of Purshottam Nagar,
Mehsaul, Ward No. 19, (New 28) West of Railway Generator House, P.S.
Sitamarhi, District - Sitamarhi

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Urban Development,
Government of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government of
Bihar, Patna
3. The District Magistrate, Sitamarhi
4. The Nagar Parishad, Sitamarhi through its Chairman
5. The Chairman, Nagar Parishad, Sitamarhi
6. The Executive officer, Nagar Parishad, Sitamarhi

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr. Krishna Kant Singh Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Surendra Kishore Thakur Advocate Mr. Subodh Kumar Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI)

11 08-12-2017 Heard learned counsel for the appellant and counsel for
the Municipal Authority.

No interference is warranted with the order of the Learned
Single Judge. If a demand has been raised by the Municipal
Authority, the same can very well be assailed and challenged
before an appropriate forum.

Pursuing remedy before the High Court will be a ground



for consideration of condonation of delay, if it is required to be granted.

If the appellant decides to move the Municipal Authority, they do have an obligation to adjudicate and decide the same.

Appeal is dismissed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

skm/-

U			
---	--	--	--

