

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION No.656 of 2016**

=====

Harimohan Prasad & Ors

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

Binod Kumar & Ors

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Ritu Raj Thakur

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MUNGESHWAR
SAHOO**

ORAL ORDER

3 09-02-2017 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the

learned counsel for the respondents.

Perused the impugned order dated 03.06.2016 passed by
learned 10th A.D.J., Saran at Chapra in Probate Case No.16 of
2006/Title Suit No.1 of 2007 whereby the learned court below has
rejected the application filed by the petitioners for directing the
office to send the disputed signature for comparison to C.F.S.L.,
Patna and has send the same to C.F.S.L., New Delhi.

The only apprehension of the petitioner is that the two
sons of the respondent are working in New Delhi, therefore, they
may influence the C.F.S.L.

In my opinion, only on the ground of apprehension, no
supervisory jurisdiction can be exercised under Article 227 of the
Constitution of India particularly when the order do not suffers



from jurisdictional error.

Accordingly, this civil miscellaneous application is dismissed.

(Mungeshwar Sahoo, J)

Saurabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

