

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14158 of 2016**

=====

Haripada Das S/o Late Sushil Kumar Das, resident of Village- Karandighi,  
P.S.- Karandighi, District- Dinajpur (West Bengal), Proprietor M/s Aniket  
Manish Rice Mill at Khikhir Tola, Karandighi, West Bengal. . Petitioner/s  
Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Food and Consumer  
Protection Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Bihar State Food & Civil Supply Corporation through its Managing  
Director, Sone Bhawan, Birchand Patel Path, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Deputy Chief (Claim) the Bihar State Food and Civil Supply  
Corporation, Sone Bhawan, Birchand Patel Path, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Araria.
5. The District Manager, Bihar State Food & Civil Supply Corporation,  
Araria.
6. The Area Manager, Food Corporation of India, District Office, Araria.
7. The Area Manager, Food Corporation of India, District Office,  
Farbisganj. .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Jha, Adv.  
For the Respondent/s : Smt. Namrata Mishra, GA-6

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      07-04-2017                      The limited grievance has been raised by the petitioner  
  
that the B.S.F.C. has wrongly claimed to have supplied 77266.48  
  
quintal of paddy to him whereas he has actually received 71084.68  
  
quintal of paddy and the difference in supply of paddy is the root  
  
cause of the dispute.

The petitioner is a Miller authorised by the B.S.F.C.,  
used to receive the paddy and supply the proportionate of the C.M.R.

The counsel for the petitioner submits that in actuality,  
he has received 71084.68 quintal of paddy and this fact is supportive  
from the letter dated 21.08.2015 (Annexure-4) whereas the District  
Manager, Araria himself has mentioned that 71084.68 quintal of



paddy has been supplied, on that strength, the petitioner is claiming that the B.S.F.C. has wrongly placed the demand on the wrong impression of supply of 77266.48 quintal of paddy.

The petitioner has filed different representations before the District Manger, Araria, which are to be looked into by him. The petitioner submits that on the resolution of the dispute, he is ready to pay the outstanding dues, if any, would come out after reconciliation. He further submits that he has already deposited Rs.2,74,00,000/- (two crore seventy four lacs rupees).

Let the District Manager, Araria look into the matter and hold an enquiry about the quantity on paddy supplied to him and after proper settlement, action will be taken against him.

As the representation is pending before the District Manager, Araria, he will dispose of the same within two months from the date of production or receipt of a copy of this order.

With the above observation/direction, this petition is disposed of.

**(Shivaji Pandey, J)**

Mahesh/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

