

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION No.391 of 2016**

=====

Kalyani Devi

.... Appellant/s

Versus

Md. Akhter & Ors

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Ravindra Kumar Sinha

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MUNGESHWAR
SAHOO
ORAL ORDER**

3 06-02-2017 **1.** Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned counsel for the respondent.

2. It appears that the relevant documents, i.e., sale deed
and the rent receipt which now the petitioner is praying to mark
the same had already been marked as ext. 'D/2' and 'A/2'. So far
other document, i.e., order passed by LRDC in mutation
proceeding, amendment and other rent receipts are concerned,
those documents are not relevant for deciding the question of title
involved between the parties for decision in the suit. Moreover
the suit is at the stage of argument.

3. Thus, I find no reason to interfere with the impugned
order passed by the Court below dated 10.05.2016 passed by
learned Munsif II, Gaya in Title Suit No.145 of 1998 whereby the
application filed by the petitioner for permission to adduce



documentary evidences and if being examined as witnesses has been rejected.

4. It may be mentioned here that the defendant petitioner had filed joint written statement along with defendant No.3 and defendant No.3 has already been examined as witnesses.

5. Thus, this Civil Misc. application is dismissed.

(Mungeshwar Sahoo, J)

Sanjeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

