IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3208 of 2017

==========

1. Punam Arya W/o Sri Sikandar Ram R/o Village - Alamnagar (Auradih), P.O. + P.S. - Alamnagar, District - Madhepura.
2. Ranjan Kumari W/o Sri Manikant Kumar R/o At + P.O. - Makdumpur, P.S. - Puraini, District - Madhepura.
3. Ranjan Kumari W/o Sri Dilip Paswan R/o At + P.O. - Bhagipur, P.S. - Alamnagar, District - Madhepura.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Primary Education, Government of Bihar, Patna
4. The Director, State Council of Education, Research and Training, Bihar, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The District Magistrate, Madhepura, District - Madhepura.
6. The District Education Officer, Madhepura, District - Madhepura.
7. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Madhepura, District - Madhepura.
8. The Block Development Officer, Alamnagar, District - Madhepura.
9. The Block Education Officer, Alamnagar, District - Madhepura.
10. The Pramukh-cum-Chairman, Block Teacher Employment Unit Alamnagar, District - Madhepura.
11. The Block Development Officer, Puraini, District - Madhepura.
12. The Block Education Officer, Puraini, District - Madhepura.
13. The Pramukh-cum-Chairman, Block Teacher Employment Unit Puraini, District - Madhepura.
14. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj - Alamnagar South, Block - Alamnagar, District - Madhepura.
15. The Mukhiya-cum-Chairman, Panchayat Teacher Employment Unit, Alamnagar South, Block Alamnagar, District - Madhepura.

.... Respondent/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mrityunjay Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Lalit Kishore- PAAG 1

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

WEB COPY

4 27-07-2017 In view of the fact that identical writ petitions have been dismissed by this Court and the matter is now sub judice before the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP (Civil) No.995 of 2017, the petitioners may approach the Hon'ble Supreme Court and seek indulgence into the matter as the question is now sub judice before the Supreme Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to approach the Supreme Court to intervene in the pending SLP.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

K.C.jha/-

U			

WEB COPY