

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1900 of 2016

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.863 of 2014

=====

Surendra Mohan Sinha, son of Late Raghputi Sahay, resident of Phulwaria-3, P.S. Phulwaria, Begusarai, duly constituted Attorney for Dr. Siya Saran Prasad, son fo Late Maithili Saran Prasad, resident of village-Kiul, P.S. Teghra, Begusarai, under registered power of Attorney dated 13.12.1991 executed by aforesaid Dr. Siya Saran Prasad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through Md. Naushad Yusuf, Collector, Begusarai
2. Sri Rajiv Singh, the Circle Officer, Teghra, Begusarai
3. Sri Murari Prasad, Rajshav-Karamchari, Halka-03, Teghra Prakhhand, Begusarai
4. Smt. Usha Singh wife of Sri Umesh Singh, resident of Phulwaria-3, P.S. Phulwaria, Begusarai, former member, Zila Parishad, Begusarai
5. Sri Umesh Singh son of Late Bishwanath Singh, resident of Phulwaria-3, P.S. Phulwaria, Begusarai

... .. Opposite parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Pritish Kumar Lal
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. AAG6 ANJANI KUMAR

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KISHORE KUMAR
MANDAL

ORAL ORDER

3 15-11-2017 Heard Mr. Lal for the petitioner and the counsel for the State.

The application alleges wilful/deliberate disobedience/disregard of the order dated 20.02.2015 (Annexure-1). Having considered the submissions of the parties, the Court directed the Circle Officer to dispose of the pending proceeding.

Mr. Lal fairly states that the Circle Officer has now dropped the said proceeding. He has, however, submitted that



acceptance of land rent has not resumed. This should be considered as a breach of the order passed on the writ petition.

Counsel for the State conversely submits that the Court had only directed for disposal of the pending proceeding considering the submissions made by the petitioner in the writ petition. The pending proceeding has already been dropped. The order passed by this Court has been complied with.

Mr Lal, appearing on behalf of the petitioner, however, states that if the respondent-State continues to refuse the acceptance of the land rent, he will approach the appropriate forum /authority in accordance with law.

The contempt application is dismissed.

(Kishore Kumar Mandal, J)

HR/-

U			
---	--	--	--

