

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No 30480 of 2014**

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -885 Year- 2012 Thana -COMPLAINT CASE District- KISANGANJ

=====

1. Md Nadeem Ansari @ Nadeem Ansari Son of Shoukat Ali Ansari  
2. Perwez Ansari @ Md Parwej Alam Ansari Son of Late Gulab Jan Ansari Both  
are residents of village- Balu Basti, Tegharia, P.S. - Kishanganj, District-  
Kishanganj

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar  
2. Md Aainul Ansari Son of Lal Mohamad Ansari Resident of village- Balubasli,  
Tegharia Ward no.-7, P.S.- Kishanganj, District- Kishanganj

.... .... Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr Amal Kumar Singh, Advocate

For Opposite Party No 2: Mr Radha Mohan Singh, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD**

**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**Date: 17-07-2017**

Heard counsel for the petitioners, Opposite Party No 2  
and learned APP for the State.

The order dated 03.12.2013, whereby cognizance of the  
offences under Sections 420 and 120B of Indian Penal Code has been  
taken, is the subject matter of the instant petition.

From perusal of the complaint petition, it is apparent that  
the ingredients of the offence under Sections 420 and 120B of IPC are  
sufficiently stated. Thus, prima facie, opinion of the Magistrate,  
while taking cognizance of the offence, cannot be faulted.

In the instant case, no case is made out for interference  
by this Court under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code.



This application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

M.E.H./-

|                   |            |
|-------------------|------------|
| AFR/NAFR          | NAFR       |
| CAV DATE          | NA         |
| Uploading Date    | 18.07.2017 |
| Transmission Date | 18.07.2017 |

