

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10971 of 2017**

=====

Kamakhya Narain Singh, Son of Late Brij Bihari Singh, Resident of  
Village- Koran Dihri, Police Station and Block- Sahar, District- Bhojpur at  
Ara.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director Primary Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Bhojpur at Ara.
5. The District Education Officer, Bhojpur at Ara.
6. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), District Bhojpur at Ara.
7. The Block Education Officer, Sahar, District Bhojpur at Ara.

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Roy

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Madhukar Mishra- Ac to Sc-16

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR**  
**UPADHYAY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      13-12-2017                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the  
counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

The grievance of the petitioner is covered by the  
judgment of the Apex Court in the case of Mukesh Kumar Vs.  
State of Bihar & Ors: (2017) 5 SCC 387.

The petitioner has already approached before the  
respondent by filing representation contained in Annexure-7.

In view of the decision of the Apex Court in the case  
of Mukesh Kumar (Supra), the respondents are required to take  
final decision on the representation of the petitioner within a



maxim period of 60 days from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order and the consequential benefit must reach to the petitioner within a further period of one month from the date of the decision by the respondent nos. 5 and 6, the District Education Officer and District Programme Officer, Bhojpur at Ara within a further period of one month.

With the aforesaid, the writ application stands disposed of.

**(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)**

Ravi/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

