

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

**Letters Patent Appeal No.246 of 2017
IN
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 9055 of 2007**

=====

Jai Krishna Rai, S/o Late Yadu Rai, Resident of H/o Sri Madan Sah, Sahni Patti,
Patel Nagar West, P.S.- Shashtri Nagar, District- Patna.

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The Bihar State Electricity Board now Known as Bihar State Power Holding Company Ltd through its Chairman Now known as Managing Director, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna-1.
2. The Chairman, Now known as Managing Director, Bihar State Electricity Board, now known as Bihar Power Holding Company Ltd., Vidyut Bhawan, Patna-1.
3. The Secretary, Now known as Managing Director, Bihar State Electricity Board, now known as Bihar Power Holding Company Ltd., Vidyut Bhawan, Patna-1.
4. The Financial Controller, Bihar State Electricity Board now known as Bihar State Power Holding Company Ltd., Vidyut Bhawan, Patna-1.
5. The Joint Secretary, Bihar State Electricity Board now known as Bihar State Power Holding Company Ltd., Vidyut Bhawan, Patna-1.
6. The Deputy Director of personnel, Bihar State Electricity Board, Vidyut Bhawan, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Ram Hriday Prasad, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vinay Kirti Singh, Senior Advocate
Mr. Vijay Kumar Verma, Advocate
Mr. Akhileshwar Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

And

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date: 03-07-2017

From the counter affidavit filed by the respondents and the averments made in para 12, 13 and 14 it is clear that now in the matter of pay fixation of the employees, like the petitioner, and for taking steps to give them double pay fixation, an order has been passed on



14.05.2015, vide Annexure-A and it is indicated that the claim of the petitioner is under consideration and it shall be decided and disposed of in accordance to order no.53 dated 14.05.2015 (Annexure-A).

In view of the above, the respondents are directed to examine the case of the petitioner in the light of the aforesaid order and take a decision and enlarge the benefit to the petitioner in accordance to his entitlement within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of the order and without being influenced by the order passed in the writ petition.

With the aforesaid, the appeal stands allowed and disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Sunil/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	08.07.2017
Transmission Date	

