IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1312 of 2017
In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 4408 of 2008

==========

Subhash Prasad Singh son of late Bhagwan Singh resident of village Chhatna P.S. Parsa Bazar, Dist. Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the General Manager Eastern Railway, Hazipur, Dist. Vaishali
2. The Divisional Regional Manager, Eastern Central Railway, Danapur, Dist. Patna.
3. The Senior Divisional Engineer, Eastern Central Railway, Danapur, Dist. Patna.
4. The Divisional Engineer, Eastern Central Railway, Danapur, Dist. Patna.
5. The Assistant Engineer, Eastern Central Railway Line, Patna.
6. The Inspector of Work (I.O.W.) Eastern Central Railway, Jehanabad.

.... Opp.Party/ Respondents

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dinesh Choudhary
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Sinha

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KISHORE KUMAR MANDAL
ORAL ORDER

2 28-06-2017 Heard both sides.

Perused the application seeking modification in the order dated 29.11.2016.

It is stated that in the said order dated 29.11.2016, it has incorrectly been printed that petitioner would ventilate his grievance before the Tribunal constituted by the State Government. The petitioner had not pressed the application in order to ventilate his grievance before the learned arbitrator.

Learned counsel for the Railways states that there is

WEB COPY

relevant clause in the agreement under which the petitioner can approach the arbitrator.

Accordingly, the application is disposed of. The order dated 29.11.2016 is modified to the extent that in the second paragraph of the said order the words “the Tribunal constituted by the State Government” shall be substituted by the words “learned arbitrator”.

Oder dated 29.11.2016 is accordingly modified to the extent indicated above.

(Kishore Kumar Mandal, J)

Shyam/-

U			

WEB COPY