

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8251 of 2017

- =====
1. Ranjit Kumar Ranjan @ Ranjeet Kumar Ranjan, son of Rajbansh Ram, Resident of Village- Jaddupur, P.S.- Sonahan, District- Kaimur.
 2. Geeta Kumari @ Gita Devi, D/o Ramdhyan Ram, wife of Ranjet Kumar Ranjan, Resident of Village- Jaddupur, P.S.- Sonahan, District- Kaimur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Collector, Kaimur, Bihar.
2. The Secretary, Education Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Secretary, University Grant Commission, Ministry of Human Resources Development, Bahadur Shah Jaffar Marg, New Delhi.
4. The Secretary, National Council for Teacher Education, Hans Bhawan Wing-2, Bahadur Shah Jaffar Marg, New Delhi- 110002.
5. The Secretary, National Council for Teacher Education (N.C.T.E.), ERC, Bhubaneshwar, Odisha.
6. The Vice Chancellor, Veer Kunwar Singh University, Ara, Bihar.
7. The Secretary, Mamta Seva Sansthan, Bhabua, District- Kaimur, Bihar.
8. The Director/Principal/Secretary Guru Prakash B.Ed. College, Kekadha, District- Kaimur, Bihar.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anand Kishore Choudhary, Adv.
For the State : Mr. Prabhat Ranjan Singh, AC to AAG15
For the University : Mr. P.K. Verma, Sr. Adv.
: Mr. Rajesh Pd. Chaudhary, Adv.

=====



CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT & ORDER

Date : 25-07-2017

The petitioners are husband and wife. Both of them are said to have taken admission in Guru Prakash B.Ed. College, Kekodha, in the district of Kaimur, in B.Ed. course for the Session 2015-17. Their grievance is that though they deposited the requisite amount of admission fee, tuition fee and admission fee to the tune of Rs. 1,25,000/-, they have not been issued admit cards to appear for B.Ed. examination, to be held by the Veer Kunwar Singh University, Ara (*hereinafter referred to as the 'University'*).

2. On reading of the pleadings in the writ application, I find that the petitioners have grievance against the private respondent Nos. 7 and 8. They are running the said institution. Since, in substance, the petitioners have grievance against respondent Nos. 7 and 8 and there is no allegation that the University or the other State-respondents have denied the petitioners of any legitimate right, in my view, this writ application cannot be maintained, dispute being there between two private parties.

3. This application is, accordingly, dismissed as



not maintainable.

4. The petitioners shall have liberty to take recourse to any provision of law for redressal of their grievances including by way of filing a suit before a Court of competent jurisdiction.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Praveen-II/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	27.07.2017
Transmission Date	N/A

