

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.696 of 2017

=====

Richa Sagar, daughter of Vidya Sagar, resident of Flat No. 201, Karpur Pratibha Place, Road No. 2, Nehru Nagar, P.S. Patliputra, District Patna.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna
2. The Superintendent of Police, Patna.
3. The Officer-in-Charge, Patliputra Police Station, Patna.
4. The Officer-in-Charge, Jakkanpur Police Station, Patna.
5. The Sub Inspector, Patliputra Police Station, Patna.
6. Rahul Raj @ Badal @ Bittu, son of Ramswaroop Prasad
7. Ramswaroop Prasad, son of not known
8. Savitri Devi, wife of Ramswaroop Prasad,
Respondent nos. 6 to 8 are resident of Quarter No.3, Mithapur, Goriya Math, P.S. Jakkanpur, District Patna.
9. Nisha Devi, wife of Sanjeet Kumar, R. Block, Quarter No.59, P.S. Sachivalay, District Patna.
10. Dayanand Mishra, son of Amarnath Mishra, Road No. 4, Rajiv Nagar, P.S. Rajiv Nagar, District Patna, permanent resident of Baithak Panchayat, P.S. Benipatti, District Madhubani.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance:

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sangeet Deokuliar, Advocate
For the Respondent s : Mr. Ravi Verma, AC to GP 4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE RAVI RANJAN
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE RAVI RANJAN)

Date: 18-05-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

2. It is informed by both the counsels that the boy has been produced by respondent no. 6 before the Court below and his statement has been recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. A xerox copy of the 164 Cr.P.C. statement has been



produced for our perusal by learned counsel for the State. It appears therefrom that the boy has shown his hand before the Court concerned and has stated that his hand was burnt by his aunt (phua). It is surprising that the boy was not sent for medical examination. Learned counsel for the petitioner informed that a petition in this regard has been filed before the Court below.

3. Be that as it may, since the boy has already been recovered, we are inclined to close this matter. However, the Court below is directed to immediately get the boy medically examined by a medical board. The petitioner will produce the boy immediately before the Court below along a copy of this judgment. Thereafter, steps would be taken for examination of the boy by a medical board without delay.

4. This application is, accordingly, disposed of.

(Dr. Ravi Ranjan, J)

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	18.05.2017
Transmission Date	N.A.

