

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.8117 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -119 Year- 2016 Thana -KHAIRA District- SARAN

=====

Jai Krishna Singh, S/o Late Jagdish Singh, Resident of Village- Hariharpur
Kothi, PO- Pinari, P.S.- Dariyapur (Haal Derni), District- Saran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Vigilance Department, Patna at Patna.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shashi Shekhar Tiwary, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 27-02-2017 This is an application for grant of anticipatory bail

for offences punishable under Sections 420, 120B and 201 of the

Indian Penal Code and Section 13(2) of the P.C. Act.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that

he has retired from service in the year 2013 and allegation against

him is that while he was posted in the Panchayat, appointments

were made of Niyojit Teachers but he did not submit the

documents relating to appointments.

Heard learned A.P.P. also.

Having heard both sides, in view of the fact that since

petitioner has retired from service, let above named petitioner, in

the event of arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from



the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Twenty-five thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Special Judge, Vigilance, Muzaffarpur, in connection with Khaira Saran P.S. Case no. 119 of 2016, Special Case no. 34 of 2016, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and also subject to the conditions that (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the investigation and make himself available before the police as and when required.

It is made clear that if during investigation any incriminating material is found against the petitioner, prosecution is at liberty to move for cancellation of petitioner's bail.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

sudip/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

