

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4717 of 2017

=====

1. Dinesh Kumar, Son of Shri Ram Babu Prasad, Resident of village - Chandmari,
P.S. Sahpur, District - Patna

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Managing Director, Bihar State Tourism Development Corporation,
Kautalya Vihar, Birchand Patel Path, Patna 800001
2. The General Manager, Bihar State Tourism Development Corporation, Kautalya
Vihar, Birchand Patel Path, Patna 800001
3. The Chief Engineer, Bihar State Tourism Development Corporation, Kautalya
Vihar, Birchand Patel Path, Patna 800001
4. The Executive Engineer, Bihar State Tourism Development Corporation,
Kautalya Vihar, Birchand Patel Path, Patna 800001
5. The Assistant Engineer, Bihar State Tourism Development Corporation,
Kautalya Vihar, Birchand Patel Path, Patna 800001
6. The Junior Engineer, Bihar State Tourism Development Corporation, Kautalya
Vihar, Birchand Patel Path, Patna 800001

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Nikesh

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rajeev Ranjan Prasad

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 01-08-2017

Heard the parties.

In the present case, petitioner has raised a limited
grievance for payment of Rs. 21,39,000/-.

It has been mentioned in the petition that tender was
floated for appointment of contractor for repair and reconstruction
of Hotel Kautalya Vihar, situated at Bir Chand Patel Path, Patna.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner
has completed renovation work of Hotel but payment of Rs.



21,39,000/- which he is entitled has not been made by the Bihar State Tourism Development Corporation.

In such view of the matter, petitioner is directed to file a detailed representation along with essential documents to substantiate his case. If such representation is filed, the Managing Director of the Bihar State Tourism Development Corporation will be obliged to look into the matter and will pay the admitted dues. In case the Managing Director arrives to a finding that payment is not made or part payment is found to be made, he will give reasonable opportunity of hearing to the petitioner will pass a reasoned order. All process should be completed within a period of two months from the date of filing of the representation.

With the aforesaid observation and direction this writ petition is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Vinay/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	18.8.2017
Transmission Date	NA

