

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5171 of 2017

- =====
1. Gyan Jyoti Gramoutthan Samiti through Its Secretary Jitendra Kumar Pandey, Son of Late Anirudh Pandey, resident of Village + Post Office- Chorwan, Police Station- Baniapur, District- Saran.
 2. Jankalyan Samiti through its representative Ranjit Sah, Son of Sita Ram Sah, Resident of Village + Post Office- Ganga, Police Station- Bheldi, District- Saran.
 3. M/S Krishna Construction Company through its representative Shailesh Kumar Singh, Son of Shri Vinda Singh, Resident of Village + Post Office- Mukrera, Police Station- Revilganj, District- Saran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Health Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The State Health Society, Bihar through its Secretary-cum-Executive Director, Parivar Kalyan Bhawan, Patna.
3. The Commissioner, Saran Division, Saran.
4. The District Health Society, Saran through its Secretary the Civil Surgeon, Saran.
5. The District Magistrate-cum-Chairman, District Health Society, Saran.
6. The Civil Surgeon-cum-Secretary, District Health Society, Saran.
7. The District Programme Manager, Saran.
8. The Assistant Commissioner, Commercial Saran.
9. The District Account Officer, Saran.
10. The General Manager, Industry, Saran.
11. Bhabha Institute of Social Services through its Secretary Arbind Kumar Singh, Salemur, Post office- Chapra, District- Saran.
12. Professor Nawal Kishore Prasad Smirti Seva Sansthan through its Secretary Shailendra Kumar Singh, Shahi Colony, Hazipur, Vaishali.
13. Crocksy Security Pvt. Ltd., Hanumat Nagar, Ward No 4, Uttarwari Pokhra, Bettiah, West Champaran.
14. Ang Foundation through its Chairman Dr. Satyajit Kumar, Road No. 1, Shivpuri, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gyan Prakash, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Prem Ranjan Kumar, AC to AAG-IX

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 16-05-2017

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

In the present case, the petitioners are challenging the action of the respondents in granting work to the respondent nos. 11 to



14.

Admittedly, the respondent nos. 11 to 14 are L-1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners do not have experience for the work which has been offered to them, inasmuch as, they have been granted such a work which was not applied by them. As it appears that the petitioners have approached to the Commissioner, Saran Division, Saran where details of fact have been mentioned, the Commissioner will be obliged to consider the objection raised by the petitioners and, after giving notice to the respondent nos. 11 to 14, will take a decision in accordance with law by passing a reasoned order.

For convenience, the petitioners are given liberty to file a detailed representation to the Commissioner, Saran Division, Saran who will take decision within a period of three months from the date of filing of the representation along with a copy of this order.

With the aforementioned observation and direction, this application is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Rishi/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	18.05.2017
Transmission Date	NA

