

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Review No.130 of 2016

In

CWJC No. 5037 of 2014

=====

Shashi Bhushan Singh, son of Ragho Prasad Singh, resident of Collector Singh,
Keshari Nagar, Patna - 24

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Animal and Fish Resources Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director Fishery, Bihar, Patna
3. The Joint Director, Fishery.
4. The Executive Engineer (Head Quarter), Directorate, Fishery, Bihar, Patna.
5. The Assistant Engineer, Deputy Director, Fishery, Trihut Range, Muzaffarpur
6. The District Fishery Officer, East Champaran, Motihari.
7. The District Fishery Officer, East Champaran, Motihari
8. The District Certificate Officer, East Champaran, Motihari
9. The Certificate Officer, Motihari.
10. The Officer-in-Charge of Motihari Town Police Station East Champaran, Motihari
11. Rajdeo Sahni, Secretary, Jhakha Surajpur Panchayat, Fishermen Co-operative Society Ltd. (Maa Jalkar), Parulia.
12. Binay Kumar Chaudhary, Anil Kumar Chaudhary, Chief Executive Officer, Motihari Block Fisherman Self-Supporting Co-operative Society Ltd. (Maa Motijheel @ Karaiyal).
13. Anjira Devi, wife of Rabindra Sahni, Chief Executive Officer, Pipra Kothi Block Fisherman Co-operative Society Ltd. (Maun-Manjharia, Matwali).
14. Krishna Sahni, Chairan Jaisinghpur, East Panchayat, Fisherman Co-operative Society Ltd. (Maun Chilraw).
15. Motilal Sahi, Secretary Bairiya, Kabalpur Panchayat Fisherman Co-operative Society Ltd. (Maun- Turkaulia).
16. Sharda Chaudhary, Chairman, Manjhariya Sapahi Panchayat Fisherman Co-operative Society Ltd. (Moun Sapahi).

.... Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Dhananjay Kumar, Advocate

For Opposite Parties : None

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 01-03-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. This application has been filed for review of the order dated

01.02.2016 passed in CWJC No. 5037 of 2014, *inter alia*, disposing of



the writ petition.

3. Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court does not find any error apparent on the face of the record or any other sufficient reason as may warrant review of the aforesaid order dated 01.02.2016.

4. It however may be made clear that in case recovery is sought to be made against the petitioner through a certificate proceeding, the petitioner shall be entitled to raise objection, which shall be considered on its own merits in accordance with law.

(Vikash Jain, J)

B.T/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	02.03.2017
Transmission Date	N.A.

