

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.504 of 2017

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5870 of 2011

=====

Ram Narayan Singh, son of Late Yogendra Prasad Singh, resident of village + P.O. - Tiswara Harilochanpur, P.S. - Sarairanjan, Distt. - Samastipur presently posted as Block Panchayat Raj Officer, Sakra Block, Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Revenue & Land Reforms Department, Old Secretariat, Patna, Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj Directorate, New Secretariat, Patna, Bihar.
3. Sri Kuldeep Narayan, The Director, Panchayati Raj, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Commissioner, Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga.
5. The Deputy Director (Planning), Deptt. of Panchayati Raj, Bihar, Patna.
6. The District Magistrate, Darbhanga.
7. The Deputy Secretary, Revenue & Land Reform Department, Old Secretariat, Patna, Bihar.
8. The District Panchayati Raj Officer, Sitamarhi.
9. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Darbhanga.
10. The Block Development Officer, Darbhanga.
11. The Block Development Officer, Bathnaha, Sitamarhi.
12. The Assistant Settlement Officer, Head Office, Darbhanga.
13. The Principal, Mukhia Sarpanch Training Institute, Muzaffarpur.
14. The Nazir, Block Development Officer, Darbhanga.
15. The Block Development Officer, Matihani, Begusarai.

... .. Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Sri Shree Ganesh
For the Opposite Parties : AC to AAG No. 6

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 22-11-2017 Sri Shree Ganesh, learned counsel for the petitioner in presence of learned A.C. to A.A.G. No. 6 submits that in view of the show -cause filed on behalf of opposite party, it is evident that in compliance with the order of the writ court the authority concerned has already passed order, however learned counsel for the petitioner tried to persuade the court that there



are certain errors in the order, which has been brought on record as Annexure - 'B' to the show -cause.

The court is of the opinion that in contempt matter it would be difficult to examine the correctness of the said order. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved with the said order, he would be at liberty to avail appropriate remedy, at least there is no reason to further proceed with this matter.

Accordingly, the present contempt petition stands disposed of.

(Rakesh Kumar, J)

praful/-

U			
---	--	--	--

