

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3861 of 2016

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 23267 of 2013

=====

1. Brij Vilas Rai S/O Late Raj Dayal Rai Resident Of Village And Post Office- Sarenja, P.S- Rajpur, District- Buxar.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Principal Secretary, Agriculture Department, Bihar, Patna, namely Mr. Sudhir Kumar.
2. The Agriculture Produce Commissioner, Agriculture Department, Bihar, Patna, namely, Mr. Vijay Prakash.
3. The Director, Agriculture Department, Bihar, Patna, namely Mr. B. Kartikey.
4. The Joint Director, Agriculture Department, Patna Division, Patna, namely Mr. Umesh Kumar Chaudhary
5. The District Agriculture Officer, Buxar, namely, Mr. Ranvir Singh

.... Respondent/s-opp. parties.

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rana Ishwar Chandra

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Singh (Aag 13)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KISHORE KUMAR
MANDAL
ORAL ORDER

5 15-03-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and AAG-13

for the State.

A supplementary show-cause after service is filed

which is taken on record.

The application alleges wilful/deliberate disregard/

disobedience of the order dated 09.08.2016 passed in CWJC No.

23267 of 2013 whereby the Director, Agriculture Department,

Govt. of Bihar was directed to consider the representation filed by

the petitioner and take appropriate decision thereon in accordance



with law.

In paragraph no. 6 of the show-cause, it is stated that a report of the enquiry team was submitted on 6.3.2017 whereon, after consideration, the District Agriculture Officer, Buxar has already been directed to ensure payment of the subsidy to the petitioner. By another communication dated 06.03.2017, the Joint Director (Agronomy), Patna Division, has also been directed to take appropriate action against the erring officer. On the basis thereof, it has been submitted that a decision has already been taken as required under the said law by the concerned opposite party.

Considering the above, the present proceeding does not need further persuasion. It is expected the admissible dues as per the direction of the Director Agriculture Department, if any, shall be made in favour of the petitioner.

Dismissed.

(Kishore Kumar Mandal, J)

Shyam/-

U			
---	--	--	--

