

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5822 of 2017**

=====

Abha Kumari W/o Ajit Kumar Sirvastava, R/o at Bag Bhop Singh Road,  
Alamganj Chowki, P.O.- Gulzarbagh, Police station- Alamganj, District-  
Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Education,  
Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department , Biahrr, Patna.
3. The Director, Secondary Education Department , Bihar, Patna.
4. The Regional Deputy Director, Tirhut Devision, Muzaffarpur.
5. The District magistrate, Vaishali.
6. The District Education Officer, District-Vaishali.
7. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), District- Vaishali.
8. The Headmaster, Janta High School, Panapur Dharpur, Vidupur, District-  
Vaishali.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                |
|----------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Binod Kumar, Advocate      |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Mr. Nagendra Kumar, AC to GP20 |
| For Resp. No. 8      | : | Mr. Rudal Prasad, Advocate     |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      21-12-2017                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that during the pendency  
of the writ application the substantive grievance of the petitioner  
has been redressed. He submits that the petitioner may be  
granted liberty to file representation before the respondent No.  
7, the District Programme Officer (Establishment), Vaishali in  
case the petitioner is aggrieved by the calculation or any  
omission in calculating the claim of the petitioner.

The writ petition is disposed of with the liberty aforesaid.



In case the petitioner files such representation before the respondent No. 7, the District Programme Officer within one month from today, the respondent no. 7 will examine the claim of the petitioner and if it is found that there is any error in the calculation of the dues of the petitioner, examine the claim objectively and pass final order within three months from the date of order on such representation and ensure payment of admitted dues on such representation.

**(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)**

spandey/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

