

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

**Letters Patent Appeal No.489 of 2017
IN
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 16431 of 2016**

=====

1. Subodh Kumar Son of Vijay Kumar Das, Resident of Quarter No.-301, DSL Colony, Chhote Lal Chauk, P.O.-Jitwara, District Samastipur

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Higher Education, Govt. of Bihar Patna
3. The District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur
4. The District Education Officer, Muzaffarpur
5. Executive Officer, Teacher's Employment Division, Muzaffarpur
6. The Headmaster, +2 High School, Muzaffarpur.
7. The District Magistrate, Samastipur,
8. The District Education Officer Samastipur
9. The Executive Officer Teacher's Employment Division, Samastipur Zila Parishad.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Naresh Chandra Verma, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ashutosh Ranjan Pandey-AAG15

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date: 08-05-2017

Seeking exception to an order dated 22.11.2016
passed by the learned Writ Court in C.W.J.C. No.16431 of 2016, this
appeal has been filed under Clause 10 of the Letters Patent.

However, the only grievance canvassed by the
petitioner before us in this appeal is that the appellant would be
satisfied if the original documents submitted by the petitioner at the



time of appointment and selection as a Music Teacher are returned back within a reasonable time.

Keeping in view the aforesaid, we direct that, on the appellant's filing a certified copy of the order along with the list of original certificates said to have been submitted by the appellant at the time of appointment, the District Education Officer, Muzaffarpur, Respondent No.4, shall consider the application of the appellant and ensure that the documents submitted by the appellant, in original, at the time of appointment are returned back to the appellant within two weeks from the date of submission of the application along with a certified copy of the order.

Needless to emphasize that if for any reason the District Education Officer is unable to supply any of the documents, it would be incumbent upon the officer to record reasons, speaking in nature, and communicate to the petitioner.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Sudhir Singh, J)

K.C.jha/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	16.5.2017
Transmission Date	N/A

