

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1011 of 2017

=====

1. Rupanjali Kumari W/o Rakesh Kumar resident of Village: - Pawai, P.S. - Dev,
Dist - Aurangabad.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya.
4. The District Magistrate, Aurangabad.
5. The Deputy Director, Social Welfare, Magadh Division, Gaya.
6. The District Public Grievance Redressal Officer, Aurangabad.
7. The District Programme Officer, Aurangabad.
8. The Child Development Programme Officer, Dev, Aurangabad.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vinod Kumar, Adv.

For the Respondent/s: Mr. Mithilesh Kumar Upadhyay, A.C. to G.P.3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 01-09-2017

Heard Mr. Vinod Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner
and Mr. Mithilesh Kumar Upadhyay, A.C. to G.P.3 for the State.

The petitioner is aggrieved by the order of the Commissioner,
Magadh Division, Gaya whereby her appeal has been rejected. A
plain reading of the order in appeal would show that it is following
the removal of the original appointee one Nagwanti Devi that an
appointment process was initiated in which the petitioner
participated. Before the selection process could be completed that
under the orders of the Deputy Director, Magadh Division, Gaya in
Case No. 9 of 2013, the said Nagwanti Devi was reinstated on her



post rendering the selection process so initiated, redundant. On the other hand, soon after reinstatement, the said Nagwanti Devi expired. A fresh selection process has been initiated in terms of the guidelines of 2016 for filling the post and the writ petitioner is also an applicant. However, by this writ petition she is trying to derive the benefit of the previous selection process which lost its meaning after the reinstatement of Nagwanti Devi whose removal order was set aside.

The writ petition is thoroughly misconceived and is accordingly dismissed.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

Bibhash/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	07.09.2017
Transmission Date	NA

