

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.344 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -null Year- null Thana -null District- BHAGALPUR

=====

Arvind Yadav @ Arbind Yadav, son of Lat Bauku Yadav, resident of Village-
Narayanpur (Nav Tolia) P.S. Bihpur (Bhawanipur), District, Madhepura

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Principal Secretary-cum-Commissioner, Department of Home Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The Secretary, Department of Law, Government of Bihar, Patna
4. The Director General of Police, Government of Bihar, Patna
5. The Inspector General, Bhagalpur
6. The Inspector General, (Jail & Reform Services), Government of Bihar, Patna
7. The Deputy Inspector General, (Jail & Reform Services), Government of Bihar, Patna
8. The Director, Probation Services, Government of Bihar, Patna
9. The Bihar State Sentence Remission Board, Patna through its Chairman
10. The District Magistrate, Bhagalpur P.S. & District Bhagalpur
11. The Superintendent of Police, Naugachia (Bhagalpur), P.S. Naugachia, District, Bhagalpur
12. The Superintendent of Divisional Jail, Supaul, P.S. & District Supaul
13. The Probation Officer, Home (Jail) Department, Naugachia (Bhagalpur)
14. The S.H.O., Bihpur, P.S. Bihpur, District Bhagalpur

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sanjay Kr. Singh, Advocate
For the State : Mr. P.N. Sharma, A.C. to A.G.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE RAVI RANJAN

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE RAVI RANJAN)

Date: 20-03-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

It is contended on behalf of the petitioner that though he has completed about 22-23 years in prison and his matter for remission of sentence is pending before the Remission Board.



However, even after all the formalities having already been completed, no decision has been taken as yet.

In view of the limited prayer made at the time of hearing, this writ application is disposed of with a direction to the Remission Board to consider the case of the petitioner in accordance with law in its next meeting as it appears from Annexure 5 that petitioner's case is ready for such decision by the Remission Board.

(Dr. Ravi Ranjan, J)

(Vikash Jain, J)

Sanjay-II/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	22.03.2017
Transmission Date	NA

