

\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3109/2015**

RAJKUMAR SHARMA

..... Petitioner

Through: **Mr.Bipin Bihari Singh & Mr.Anshu
Garg, Advocate**

versus

STATE & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: **Ms.Kamna Vohra, A.S.C. for the
State with SI Bikramjeet PS Sector 23
Dwarka**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE PRATIBHA RANI

ORDER

12.02.2016

%

W.P.(CRL) 3109/2015

1. By way of this writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 of Cr.P.C., petitioner has made the following prayers:-

- a) To issue a writ of mandamus or any other kind of appropriate writ, direction or order to the respondent Nos.1 to 5 to stop atrocities, harassment, torture and tyranny on innocent petitioner and not to allow any other person, official or private especially complainant or his family members to do so;
- b) To issue a writ of mandamus or any other kind of appropriate writ, direction or order to the respondent Nos.1 to 4 to take action against

W.P.(CRL) 3109/2015

Page 1 of 3

respondent No.5 or any other person especially complainant or his family members who has exercised atrocities, harassment, torture and tyranny on innocent petitioner.

2. Status report on behalf of the State has been filed.

3. On being questioned whether the petitioner is wanted in case FIR No.379/2015 PS Sector 23 Dwarka, it is stated by learned ASC for the State that petitioner is not wanted as accused in above case. The petitioner is maternal uncle of driver Manish Sharma and he was questioned in connection with investigation of this case.

4. On behalf of State, it is admitted that when called upon to join the investigation in a case wherein his nephew is wanted, the petitioner has joined the same.

5. The grievance of the petitioner is against his alleged unnecessary harassment at the hands of the respondent. Admittedly the petitioner is not an accused in the above noted case and on being questioned about his nephew, he has already co-operated and ready to co-operate further provided that he is called to join either by issuing notice or by conveying through SMS or call on his mobile phone.

6. The petitioner submits that his mobile number is available with the Investigating Officer and as and when he is required, he may be intimated in writing or through message and he will report as directed.

7. Learned ASC for the State submits that if the petitioner is further required, he will be either served with a notice or communicated on his mobile.

8. In view of the above, no further direction is required to be issued in this petition.

9. The writ petition stands disposed of in above terms.
10. Order dasti.

CRL.M.A.19066/2015

Dismissed.

PRATIBHA RANI, J.

FEBRUARY 12, 2016
'pg'