

\$~5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 377/2016, CM Appl. Nos. 1561-62/2016

AGARSAIN CHARITABLE SOCIETY (REGD.) Petitioner

Through :Mr. Pardeep Gupta, Mr. Parinav
Gupta, Mr. Ranjit Singh and Ms.
Mansi Gupta, Advs.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ORS Respondents

Through :Mr. Rajiv Bansal and Mr. Dhanesh
Relan, Advs. for respondent no. 1
Mr. Siddhartha Shankar Ray, Adv. for
GNCTD
Mr. Suresh C. Sati, Adv. for
respondent no. 4

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

%

27.01.2016

During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this writ petition be treated as representation by the respondent no. 1 and be decided within two months. Learned counsel for the respondent no. 1 has no objection, if this course is adopted. Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent no. 1 to treat this writ petition as representation of the petitioner and dispose of the same

by a speaking order and communicate to the petitioner within two months.

Miscellaneous applications are disposed of as infructuous. Dasti.

A.K. PATHAK, J.

JANUARY 27, 2016

rb