$~
* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
33.
\+ **ITA 115/2016**

PR. COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX-4 ..... Appellant
Through: Ms. Vibhooti Malhotra, Advocate.

versus

INDRAPRASTHA GAS LIMITED ..... Respondent

CORAM:
JUSTICE S.MURALIDHAR
JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

<u>O R D E R</u>

% **01.02.2016**

<u>CM No.3680 of 2016 (delay in re-filing the appeal) & ITA No. 115 of 2016</u>

1. There is a delay of 1247 days in re-filing the appeal. The explanation offered is the standard one regarding the practice directions issued by this Court for e-filing of the appeals. As has already been observed by this Court in several orders, the practice directions were issued after consultation with the bar and after giving sufficient time for the bar to get acquainted with the requirement of e-filing. Additionally, the Court has also provided scanning machines at the filing counter so that no difficulty is caused to the bar for switching over to the system of e-filing. In any event, the delay of three and

a half years on this ground is wholly unacceptable. Consequently, the Court is not persuaded to condone the extraordinary delay of 1247 days in re-filing the appeal.

2. The application is dismissed.

3. The appeal is accordingly dismissed on grounds of extraordinary delay of 1247 days in re-filing the appeal.

S.MURALIDHAR, J

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

FEBRUARY 01, 2016/mg