

\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 190/2016 & CRL.M.A. 1397-1398/2016**

IFEANYI

..... Applicant

Through: Mr Abanikanta Sahu, Advocate.

versus

THE STATE (GOVT OF NCT ELHI)

..... Respondent

Through: Mr Ravi Nayak, APP.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SIDDHARTH MRIDUL

ORDER

%

27.01.2016

The present is an application under Section 439 of the Code Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking regular bail in FIR No.5/2014 under Sections 21/29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 registered at Police Station- Crime Branch.

Counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant states that he would not press this application in the event this court would direct expedition of the subject trial.

Issue notice. Mr Nayak, learned APP, accepts notice and states that out of fifteen witnesses to be examined on behalf of the prosecution, thirteen have already been examined. Mr Nayak would further states that the remaining two witnesses to be examined on behalf of the prosecution would positively be examined on 09.02.2016 the next date of hearing before the trial court.

Ordered accordingly.

It is trite to state that every accused is entitled to an expeditious trial. In the present case the trial is at the fag-end as stated above.

Therefore, without commenting on the merits of the case the present

application is dismissed as withdrawn at this stage with a direction to the trial court to conclude the subject trial expeditiously and preferably within a period of three months from the next date of hearing without granting any unwarranted adjournments to counsel appearing on behalf of the parties. Liberty is, however, reserved to the applicant to approach this court afresh in the event the subject trial is not concluded expeditiously as directed.

The applications is disposed of accordingly.

SIDDHARTH MRIDUL, J

JANUARY 27, 2016

mk