

\$~3

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

RC.REV. 645/2015 & C.M. No.29653/2015

RANJIT SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through Petitioner with his counsel Ms.
Prabhsahay Kaur and Mr. Sarfaraz
Ahmad, Advs.

versus

ANITA AGGARWAL & ORS

..... Respondents

Through Respondent No. 1 with her counsel
Ms. Bhawna Chopra Rustogi, Adv.
Ms. Anjali Lal, Adv. for R-2 to R-4.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDERMEET KAUR

ORDER

%

04.05.2016

After some arguments, parties have arrived at a settlement.

Learned counsel for the petitioner under instructions from his client (present in Court) states that the suit property i.e. shop No. 3 in property No. B-8, Shopping Centre-II, Vivek Vihar, Delhi shall be vacated positively on or before 31.12.2016.

In this intervening the tenant-petitioner shall continue to pay user charges of Rs.3,000/- per month to the landlord-respondent from the date of the impugned order i.e. 09.07.2015. Arrears, if any, shall also be cleared within a period of three weeks from today. It is additionally made clear that at the time of handing over vacant and physical possession of the suit property back to the landlord all arrears of water and electricity charges shall be cleared by the tenant-

petitioner.

All these facts shall be incorporated in an undertaking in the form of an affidavit to be furnished by the tenant-petitioner within a period of three weeks from today with advance copy to the landlord-respondent failing which the landlord-respondent shall be at liberty to execute the decree.

With these directions petition disposed of.

Order dasti under signatures of the Court Master.

INDERMEET KAUR, J

MAY 04, 2016

A