

\$~37 & 38

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 2159/2016

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR Petitioners

Through: Mr. V.S. R. Krishna, Adv.

versus

RAJ KUMAR AND ORS

..... Respondents

Through: None.

+ W.P.(C) 2162/2016

UNION OF INDIA Petitioner

Through: Mr. V.S. R. Krishna, Adv.

versus

RAM SAROOP & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAJMI WAZIRI

ORDER

%

15.03.2016

CM APPL. 9228/2016 (For exemption) in W.P.(C) 2159/2016

CM APPL. 9235/2016 (For exemption) in W.P.(C) 2162/2016

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

CM APPL. 9229/2016 (delay in re-filing) in W.P.(C) 2159/2016

CM APPL. 9236/2016 (delay in re-filing) in W.P.(C) 2162/2016

Applications are allowed and delay in re-filing is condoned.

Applications stand disposed of.

W.P.(C) 2159/2016 & CM APPL. 9227/2016 (stay)

2

W.P.(C) 2162/2016 & CM APPL. 9234/2016 (stay)

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file a review application as it is stated that the impugned order was passed without notice to the petitioner.

The petition is dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty as prayed. We also grant liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh writ petition impugning the order under challenge, if the petitioner is aggrieved by the order filed in their review application.


SANJIV KHANNA, J


NAJMI WAZIRI, J

MARCH 15, 2016/acm