

\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 4784/2015

MANOJ KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr.Sanobar Ali Qureshi, Adv.

versus

GOVT OF NCT DELHI & ANR.

..... Respondents

Represented by: Mr.Akshai Malik, APP for State
Mr.R.K.Padhi, Adv. for R-2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP NANDRAJOG

ORDER

%

19.09.2016

1. Faced with the reasoning of the learned Metropolitan Magistrate in paragraph 15 of the order dated May 13, 2015, learned counsel for the petitioner states that as regards the merits of the said order which has been affirmed by the appellate order, the petitioner has no case to argue save and except on the point that after the impugned orders were passed the petitioner has lost his job and in respect of which assertion of the petitioner he has already moved an application before the learned Metropolitan Magistrate.

2. If that be so, the impugned orders cannot be interdicted. Subsequent change of events has already been brought by the petitioner to the notice of the learned Metropolitan Magistrate.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petition may be disposed of maintaining the impugned orders but directing the learned Metropolitan Magistrate to expeditiously decide petitioner's application

CRL.M.C. 4784/2015

page 1 of 2

praying for subsequent events to be taken note of and orders passed modifying the direction issued in the order dated May 13, 2015 passed by the learned Metropolitan Magistrate, Delhi.

4. The next date of hearing in the application filed by the petitioner before the learned Metropolitan Magistrate is November 22, 2016.

5. Learned Metropolitan Magistrate is directed to expeditiously decide the said application and in any case not later than the end of the current calendar year.

6. Petition stands disposed of in above terms.

CrI.M.C. No.17245/2015

Dismissed as infructuous.

PRADEEP NANDRAJOG, J

SEPTEMBER 19, 2016
dkb

CRL.M.C. 4784/2015

page 2 of 2