

\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 151/2016 & C.M. 679/2016

B.S. PURIA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. B.S. Puria, Advocate

versus

INDRAPRASTHA POWER GENERATION CO. LTD AND
PRAGATI POWER CORPORATION LTD & ORS. . Respondents

Through: Nemo.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER

08.01.2016

%

Petitioner is Manager (Technical) with the respondent-Corporation, who had raised grievance regarding denial of promotion, pay fixation etc. Vide impugned Communication of 16th November, 2015 (*Annexure P-1*) petitioner's Representation has been disposed of by intimating that petitioner's promotion has been delayed due to adverse remark in Annual Performance Report (*APR*).

Despite service of advance notice, none has appeared on behalf of respondents.

At the hearing, learned counsel for petitioner had drawn attention of this Court to the relevant extract of Public Hearing Meeting held on 17th September, 2014 to point out that sum of APRs of petitioner are missing and Managing Director of respondent-Corporation had directed respondent-Corporation to look into this aspect. Learned counsel for

petitioner submits that petitioner would make a Representation against the adverse APR(s) within two weeks.

If it is so done, then respondent-Corporation shall decide petitioner's Representation against adverse APR(s) within a period of six weeks and intimate its fate to petitioner within a week thereafter, so that petitioner can agitate his claim for promotion etc. afresh.

Copy of this order be sent to respondent-Corporation forthwith.

With aforesaid directions, this petition and application are disposed of.

Dasti.

(SUNIL GAUR)
JUDGE

JANUARY 08, 2016

r