

\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 486/2016**

R.R. GEETA BAL BHARATI PUBLIC SCHOOL Petitioner

Through: Mr. Parvinder Chauhan and Mr. Nitin
Jain, Advs. with Mr. O.S.Srivastava,
Manager of the petitioner.

versus

RAJWANTI AGGARWAL AND ANR Respondents

Through: Mr. Manoj Joshi, Adv. for R-1.
Mr. Santosh Tripathi, ASC with Mr.
Rizwan, Adv. for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

ORDER

% **30.11.2016**

1. Counsel for the petitioner-school states that he has instructions from the petitioner-school that this writ petition will not be pursued and respondent no.1 will be reinstated in services pursuant to the order of the Delhi School Tribunal dated 21.7.2105 subject to the condition that the respondent no.1 agrees to take 50% of her monetary benefits in full and final settlement of her claims pursuant to reinstatement in terms of Rule 121 of the Delhi School Education Rules, 1973. It is also stated that an amount of Rs.5 lacs will be paid by the petitioner to the respondent no.1 as per the settlement before 10.12.2016 and the balance due will be paid in the month

of April 2017.

2. Counsel for respondent no.1 states that he has taken instructions and the aforesaid stand of the petitioner-school is acceptable to the respondent no.1 with the condition that the respondent no.1 will have all benefits of continuity in services as per the order of the Delhi School Tribunal, and which is not disputed on behalf of the petitioner.

3. In view of the above, this writ petition is disposed of as not pressed and the respondent no.1 agrees to take 50% of the monetary package for the period during which the respondent no.1 did not work with the petitioner-school in full and final settlement of claims of respondent no.1 under Rule 121 of the Delhi School Education Rules, and subject of course making payment by the petitioner to the respondent no.1 as stated above.

4. It is also agreed that respondent no.1 will report for duties to the petitioner-school within a period of three days from today.

5. In view of the above, this writ petition is disposed of in terms of the aforesaid compromise and the respondent no.1, as agreed, will not press the contempt petition being CCP No. 25/2014 filed by her.

VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

NOVEMBER 30, 2016/ib