

1# \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 2508/2015**

SURENDER BHARDWAJ

..... Petitioner

Represented by: **Mr. Vinay K. Sharma,**
Advocate.

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Represented by: **Mr. Amit Ahlawat, APP for the**
State.

Mr. Udham Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

27.10.2016

%

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner and complainant states that the parties have arrived at a settlement. Exchange of articles has been done and the parties will shortly be filing a petition seeking quashing of the FIR in question.

2. Considering the fact that the parties have settled the matter, it would be in the interest of justice to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

3. It is, therefore, directed that in the event of arrest of the petitioner in FIR No. 628/2015 under Sections 323/354/392/506/34 IPC registered at PS Palam Village, the petitioner be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of ₹15,000/- with one surety of the like amount, subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/SHO concerned, further subject to the condition that he will join the investigation as and when directed by the

BAIL APPLN. 2508/2015

Page 1 of 2

Investigating Officer and will not leave the country without prior permission of the learned Trial Court.

4. Petition is disposed of.
5. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

OCTOBER 27, 2016

‘vn’