

2

\$~1.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **LPA 894/2015**

ANITA KUMARI

..... Appellant

Through: Ms.Latika Chaudhary, Adv. for
Ms.Avnish Ahlawat, Adv.

Versus

**CENTRAL INFORMATION
COMMISSION & ORS**

..... Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT NATH

ORDER

%

05.01.2016

C.M.No.30224/2015 (delay in refiling)

In view of the facts and circumstances explained in the application, delay in re-filing is condoned and the application is disposed of.



LPA No.894/2015

1. The unsuccessful petitioner in W.P.(C) No. 9252/2015 is the appellant before us. The said writ petition was filed by the appellant herein with a prayer to quash the order dated 31.03.2014 whereby the Central Information Commission (CIC) dismissed her Second Appeal preferred under the Right to Information Act, 2005.
2. We have heard the learned counsel for the Appellant. It is evident from the material available on record that the husband of the writ petitioner/appellant herein had sought the very same information relating to the selection of candidates of general category for appointment of Assistant Commissioner (Grade-II) in the Coal Mines Provident Fund Organization

3

and the Second Appeal preferred by him was disposed of by order dated 06.01.2009. Hence, the learned Single Judge was justified in declining to interfere with the order of CIC. As rightly held by the learned Single Judge, once the order on the RTI query of the husband of the appellant herein had attained finality, she cannot be permitted to re-agitate the issue.

3. We do not find any justifiable reason to interfere with the order under appeal and accordingly the appeal is dismissed.


CHIEF JUSTICE


JAYANT NATH, J

JANUARY 05, 2016

'anb'