

\$~8 and 9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 10508/2015, C.M. NO.2988/2016**

SUDHIR KUMAR & ORS Petitioners

versus

HIGH COURT OF DELHI & ORS Respondents

+ **W.P.(C) 11337/2015, C.M. NO.29779/2015**

CIVIL & SESSIONS COURTS STENOGRAPHERS ASSOCIATION & ORS
..... Petitioners

versus

HIGH COURT OF DELHI & ORS Respondents

Through : Sh. Rajat Aneja and Ms. Rashmi Verma,
Advocates, in Item Nos. 8 and 9.

Sh. Rajshekhar Rao and Sh. Varun Mishra, Advocates, for
Respondent No.1 in Item No.8.

Sh. Satyakam, ASC with Sh. Naveen Jakhar, Advocate, for
Respondent No.3 in Item No.9.

Sh. Ripu Daman Bhardwaj, CGSC with Sh. T.P. Singh,
Advocate, for UOI, in Item Nos.8 and 9.

Sh. Rajshekhar Rao and Sh. Varun Mishra, Advocates, for
DHC, in Item No.8.

Sh. Sanjay Diwan, Advocate, for Respondent No.2 in Item
No.8.

Sh. Sanjoy Ghose, ASC with Ms. Pratishtha Vij, Advocate,
for GNCTD, in Item Nos.8 and for DHC, in Item No.9.

Sh. Ankur Chhibber, Advocate, for Respondent No.2, in
Item No.9.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE DEEPA SHARMA

ORDER

% **27.05.2016**

The petitioners seek directions for parity with the different categories of employees working in the establishment of the High Court. They rely upon the judgment in *Mirza Zahid Beg & Ors. v. UOI and Ors.* [W.P.(C) 484/1988, decided on 02.05.2008]; *Manoj Kumar and Ors. v. High Court of Delhi* [W.P.(C) 6522/2012, decided on 02.05.2013] and *Sharvan Kumar Tanwar and Ors. v. High Court of Delhi and Ors.* [W.P.(C) 6751/2012, decided on 02.05.2013].

This Court had adjourned the proceedings to enable the High Court to decide the issue since it was represented on previous occasions that the concerned Committee is seized of the matter. Apparently, the Committee on 05.05.2016 decided to accede to the claim and in its decision recorded in the Agenda (Item No.1) has indicated the relevant parity as between Judicial Assistants and Senior Judicial Assistants on the one hand and Personal Assistants and Senior Personal Assistants on the other. It is also indicated that the Senior Judicial Assistants/Senior Personal Assistants who complete four years of service in the Grade Pay of ₹4800/- would be entitled to Grade Pay of ₹5400/- as Non-Functional Selection Grade (in PB-3). In view of the decision of the Committee and its recommendations, a direction is issued to the respondents to ensure that the pay fixation exercise is completed and relevant arrears are disbursed within five months from today or latest by 30.11.2016. The petitioners shall be paid in terms of the revised pay scales consequent upon the decision of the Court with effect from 01.07.2016. In the event of default, the respondents shall pay interest @ 9% effective from 01.12.2016 on the amounts payable. The writ petitions are allowed in the above terms.

Order dasti.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

DEEPA SHARMA, J

MAY 27, 2016

ájk