

\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 10190/2015**

**SECRETARY (R), CABINET
SECRETARIAT & ANR**

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr.Sanjeev Narula, CGSC with
Mr.Ajay Kalra, Adv./UOI.

versus

SHARAD SHARMA

..... Respondent

Through: Mr.A.K. Ojha, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAJMI WAZIRI

ORDER

% **22.02.2016**

The only issue raised in the present writ petition relates to the period for which interest should be paid. We notice that the Tribunal in the impugned order has directed payment of interest from the date when the payment became due, till the date of payment at the rate applicable to General Provident Fund (GPF) deposits.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that he has no objection, in case the interest payable is restricted to period one year prior to the date of representations, which in the case of Joginder Pal Singh is dated 02.11.2012 and in the case of Sharad Sharma is dated 03.11.2012. In other words, interest would be payable w.e.f. 03.11.2011 in the case of Joginder Pal Singh and w.e.f. 04.11.2011 in the case of Sharad Sharma.

We accept the statement made by learned counsel for the

respondent and accordingly modify the impugned order to this extent.

Learned counsel for the petitioner accepts that payment of principal amount is not challenged by them.

The statement made on behalf of the respondents, in these circumstances, we believe should be accepted.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that there is some dispute with regard to the conversion rate applicable. This is a separate matter and not a issue/question raised in this writ petition.

Writ petitions accordingly stand disposed of.

SANJIV KHANNA, J

NAJMI WAZIRI, J

FEBRUARY 22, 2016

neelam