

\$~58

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CM(M) 92/2016

SUNIL KUMAR MADAN

..... Petitioner

Through Mr.M.S.Rohilla and Ms.Anjana Joshi,
Advocates.

versus

MEENAKSHI MADAN & ORS

..... Respondents

Through Mr.Dhanesh Relan, Advocate for DDA.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDERMEET KAUR

O R D E R

%

29.01.2016

C.M. No3079/2016 & C.M. No.3080/2016 (exemption)

Exemption is allowed subject to just exceptions. Applications disposed of.

C.M. No.3081/2016 (for condonation of delay)

CM(M) 92/2016

Written statement of the defendant is not on record.

Let the same be placed on record in two weeks.

Petitioner shall give detail of the relevancy of each witness which he proposes to examine out of 19 witnesses which he has filed before this Court. This exercise shall be done before the Trial Judge. Next date before the Trial Judge is 10.3.2016. Appropriate application in this regard detailing the aforementioned facts shall be filed before the Trial Judge and the Trial Judge after considering the facts of the application and the

reply to be filed by the respondent shall decide this application. It is made clear that any observation made by this Court in this order will not reflect on the merits of the application.

Petition disposed of.

Order dasti under signatures of the Court Master.

INDERMEET KAUR, J

JANUARY 29, 2016

ndn