

\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 9889/2015 and C.M. No.23926/2015 (stay) & 23928/2015
(for filing additional documents)

JAMIA HAMDARD

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vikalp Mudgal, Advocate.

versus

AZHAR ALI KHAN AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

ORDER

%

24.11.2016

1. Counsel for the petitioner states that this writ petition is infructuous because the impugned order had a validity period of one year, and which period of one year has since expired.

2. Accordingly, this writ petition is allowed to be withdrawn, as prayed, with liberty to the petitioner to file a writ petition in case there arises a fresh cause of action.

VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

NOVEMBER 24, 2016

Ne