

\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 10158/2015 & C.M. 25068/2015

RAHUL KUMAR Petitioners

Through: Mr. Sachin Chauhan, Advocate

versus

C.R.P.F. AND ORS. Respondents

Through: Dr. Ashwani Bhardwaj, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER

% **04.04.2016**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that when the present petition was filed, the inquiry initiated against the petitioner had yet to be concluded but during pendency of the petition, the inquiry has concluded and the disciplinary authority has inflicted the punishment of “stoppage of two increments without cumulative effect” on the petitioner. He states that aggrieved by the said order, the petitioner has filed a statutory appeal before the Appellate Authority, which is pending consideration.

2. In view of the subsequent events, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the present petition, while reserving the right of the petitioner to file a fresh petition in the event his statutory appeal is dismissed.

3. Leave as prayed for is granted.

4. The petition and the application are dismissed as withdrawn.

HIMA KOHLI, J

SUNIL GAUR, J

APRIL 04, 2016

r