

\$~

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

10.

+

ITA 27/2016

THE PR. COMMISSIONER OF INCOME
TAX-IV

..... Appellant

Through: Mr Rahul Chaudhary and Mr Ruchir
Bhatia, Advocates.

versus

RAVINDER PAL SINGH GILL

..... Respondent

Through: Ms Poonam Ahuja, Advocate.

CORAM:

JUSTICE S.MURALIDHAR

JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER

%

08.01.2016

CM No.629/2016

1. For the reasons stated in the application, the delay in re-filing the appeal is condoned.

2. The application stands disposed of.

ITA 27/2016

3. Learned counsel for the Revenue points out that the tax effect is less than Rs.20 lakhs. He states that in view of Circular No.21/2015 dated 10th

December, 2015 issued by the Central Board of Direct Taxes, he does not press this appeal.

4. The appeal is accordingly dismissed as not pressed.

S.MURALIDHAR, J

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

JANUARY 08, 2016
MK