

\$~28

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1927/2015

WASIM

..... Petitioner

Through Mr.Jatin Rajput & Mr.Anupam
Dubey, Advs.

Versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through Ms.Nandita Rao, ASC with
Ms.Srilina Roy, Adv.
SI Pramod Kumar PS Patel Nagar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORDER

%

04.01.2016

The petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 11.08.2015 passed by the competent authority whereby his prayer for being released on parole has been rejected. The petitioner wanted to be released on parole for attending to his mother, arranging counsel for further legal remedies as also maintaining social ties.

There was an adverse police report and such report had weighed with the competent authority in rejecting the prayer for parole.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has remained in jail for more than 9 ½ years and his overall conduct in jail has been satisfactory.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner was earlier released on parole and furlough and on no occasion, did he misuse the privilege granted to him.

Considering the period of custody and his overall satisfactory conduct in jail, this Court is inclined to grant parole to the petitioner for a period of 30 days from the date of his release.

The petitioner would be released on parole on his furnishing a bond in the sum of Rs.5,000/- with one surety of the like amount, to the satisfaction of the Superintendent of Jail, subject to the following conditions:-

- a) The petitioner shall surrender before the jail authorities on or before the expiry of the said period of parole.
- b) The petitioner shall not engage himself in any unlawful activity.
- c) The petitioner would not leave, under any circumstance, the National Capital Territory of Delhi.
- d) He shall furnish his mobile telephone number and the mobile telephone number of both the sureties to the SHO of the concerned police station so that in case of necessity he could be tracked and his whereabouts could be ascertained.

In case, the petitioner flouts any one of the conditions, an application could be moved by the SHO for cancellation of his parole and for taking of coercive steps for securing his attendance.

With these observations, the petition is disposed of.

Dasti.

Order be communicated to the petitioner through Jail Superintendent.

ASHUTOSH KUMAR, J

JANUARY 04, 2016

ab