

\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1737/2015**

MANISH MALHOTRA

..... Petitioner

Through

Mr.Samrat Nigam & Ms.Ankita
Mahajan, Advs.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through

Mr.Ashish Dutta, APP with WSI
Renuka, PS Tilak Nagar.
Mr.Sanjay Madan, Adv. for the
complainant.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.S.TEJI

ORDER

%

07.01.2016

Counsel for the complainant has submitted that he do not wish
to file reply to the present bail application.

Status report has been filed.

Arguments heard.

The present application has been filed by the accused/
petitioner under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail
in FIR No.1516/2014, under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC, Police
Station Tilak Nagar.

The present matter came up for hearing on 25.08.2015. At the time of hearing, it was offered by the petitioner that an FDR for Rs.2 lacs in the name of the Trial Court would be deposited with the Investigating Officer of this case within two weeks and that the petitioner was also eager to get the present matrimonial dispute, which is the subject matter of the present FIR, settled through mediation. The matter was referred to the Delhi High Court Mediation & Conciliation Centre.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he has already deposited the FDR of Rs.2 lacs with the Investigating Officer of the present case. During the course of arguments, it has been submitted by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State on the instructions of the Investigating Officer W/SI Renuka that the accused /petitioner is not required for the purpose of arrest or custodial interrogation.

In view of the facts and circumstances, it is hereby ordered that in the event of arrest of the accused/petitioner, he shall be released on bail on furnishing the personal bond in the sum of Rs.20,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the arresting

officer. The petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required. He shall not leave the country without prior permission of the concerned Court. He is also directed not to tamper with the evidence or influence the prosecution witnesses.

The present application is disposed of accordingly.

Dasti.

P.S.TEJI, J

JANUARY 07, 2016
dd