

\$~

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

5.

+

ITA 781/2015

PR. COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX-6 Appellant
Through: Mr. Ashok K. Manchanda, Senior
Standing counsel.

versus

MULTIPLEX CAPITAL LTD. Respondent
Through: Mr. Ajay Wadhwa, Advocate.

CORAM:
JUSTICE S. MURALIDHAR
JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER
26.04.2016

%

1. Learned counsel for the Assessee has pointed out that the tax effect involved is less than Rs.20 lakhs although in the memorandum of appeal the Revenue states that the tax effect is Rs. 22,51,021.
2. The computation placed on record by learned counsel for the Assessee shows that the tax together with surcharge and education cess minus interest works out to less than Rs. 20 lakhs.
3. Consequently, in view of Circular No. 21/2015 dated 10th December 2015 issued by the Central Board of Direct Taxes, this appeal has to be treated as not pressed by the Revenue.

4. The appeal is accordingly dismissed as not pressed.

S. MURALIDHAR, J

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

APRIL 26, 2016
dn