

\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 7716/2015 & CM Appl. 15132/2015

OM PRAKASH BAJAJ AND ANR. Petitioners
Through: Mr. R.K. Saini with Ms. Minal Sehgal,
Advs.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY AND ANR. Respondents
Through: Mr. Dhanesh Relan with Ms. Anshita
Manocha and Mr. Harshit, Advs. for DDA

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN

ORDER
% **05.10.2016**

At the outset, Mr. Saini, learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners are willing not to press prayer (a), (b) and (b-i) provided the present writ petition is treated as a representation in respect of prayers (d) and (e) of the amended writ petition.

Learned counsel for the respondent-DDA has no objection to the same.

Consequently, the amended writ petition is directed to be treated as a representation and the respondent-DDA is directed to consider prayers (d) and (e) and pass a reasoned order within a period of twelve weeks.

A copy of the order shall be communicated to the petitioners by registered AD post.

With the aforesaid directions, the present writ petition and pending application are disposed of.

Order dasti.

MANMOHAN, J

OCTOBER 05, 2016
NG