

\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO(OS) 463/2015**

M/S BADRI VINIMAY PRIVATE LIMITED Appellant

Represented by: Mr.Jayant Mehta, Advocate with
Ms.Pratiksha Sharma, Advocate

versus

MMTC LIMITED

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr.Sanat Kumar, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP NANDRAJOG

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

% **15.02.2016**

CM No.15449/2015

For the reasons stated in the application 110 days' delay in filing the appeal is condoned.

The application is disposed of.

FAO (OS) No.463/2015

1. Taking cognizance of OMP No.225/2015, a petition filed under Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, the learned Single Judge has passed the following order:-

“Notice to the respondent limited to the amount granted in favour of the respondent in the award, returnable on 17th April, 2015.”

2. Limited notice issued in the Original Miscellaneous Petition is proof of the fact that certain objections to the award have been rejected.
3. No reasons have been recorded by the learned Single Judge as to why limited notice was issued and what were the reasons for rejecting part challenge to the award dated December 02, 2014.
4. We accordingly dispose of the appeal setting aside the impugned order dated March 18, 2015, but making it clear that the learned Single Judge would be entitled to, after stating reasons, maintain that case made out is to issue a limited notice raising challenge to the award dated December 02, 2014.
5. No costs.

CM No.15448/2015

Dismissed as infructuous.

PRADEEP NANDRAJOG, J.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

FEBRUARY 15, 2016

mamta