$~14-16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\+ W.P. (C) Nos.6984/2015, 7023/2015 & 7024/2015

TEXACO OVERSEAS PVT. LTD. ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mukesh, Advocate.

versus

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX, CIRCLE 25(1) ..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Dileep Shivpuri, Sr. Standing Counsel with Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Jr. Standing Counsel.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE DEEPA SHARMA

O R D E R

% **06.10.2016**

The grievance in these petitions is that the respondents adjusted the ostensible demands for various assessment years which were not based upon any valid assessments justifying such action. It is contended that though the Assessing Officer had initially assessed the amounts and determined the tax, relief was granted by the CIT (A) which was not duly worked out and consequently remained unreflected in the records.

This Court had issued notice and granted time to the revenue to respond in these proceedings. Having considered the submissions of the parties, the respondents are hereby directed to consider the petitioner’s representations which are part of the record and pass an order thereon having regard to the relevant assessments and appeal records for the concerned time period and also after giving at least one week's prior notice to the petitioner. The entire process shall be completed within six weeks from today and the orders communicated directly to the petitioners.

The writ petitions are allowed in the above terms.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

DEEPA SHARMA, J

OCTOBER 06, 2016
/vikas/