

\$~122

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 11633/2016

KAJOR

..... Petitioner

Through Mr.N.K.Sahoo, Advocate

versus

NEW DELHI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL & ORS

..... Respondents

Through Mr.Sri Harsha Peechara, ASC, NDMC
with Mr.Mananjay Mishra, Ms.Shailja
Mishra & Ms.Vidhi Jain, Advocates for
respondent No.1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SISTANI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD GOEL

ORDER

%

09.12.2016

The petitioner has filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ of Mandamus and a direction to the respondent not to disturb but permit the petitioner for squatting at Durga Mandir backside of side Shop No.118 at Durga Mandir Export Line, Sarojini Nagar Market, New Delhi-110023.

At the outset, the petitioner admits that his name does not find mention in the list of 628 Street Vendors who were found eligible in the year 2012. He further submits that he had earlier filed a writ petition being W.P. (C) No.5640/2015 raising almost identical issues. This writ petition was disposed of by an order dated 29.05.2015 directing the respondent/NDMC to take appropriate decision in accordance with its policy on his representation.

Mr.Sahoo submits, at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied in case his representation is decided by the NDMC expeditiously.

Mr.Sri Harsha Peechara, learned counsel for NDMC who appears on an advance copy, submits that in case a representation is filed by the petitioner, the same shall be decided within 3 months from the date of receipt of the representation.

Let a copy of this representation be submitted by the petitioner which should be decided by the respondent/NDMC within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of the representation.

Petition stands disposed of.

C.M. No.45852/2016 (for stay)

The application stands disposed of in view of the order passed in the writ petition.

G.S.SISTANI, J.

VINOD GOEL, J.

DECEMBER 09, 2016/ka /