

\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 12129/2016

HAVILDAR RAM KUMAR Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS Respondents

Through: Mr. Anupam Srivastava, ASC for
GNCTD.

Mr. S.K. Dubey, Advocate for
respondent No.4.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

ORDER

% **23.12.2016**

1. Petitioner was an employee of the respondent no.4, and which respondent no.4 is a private entity and not a State under Article 12 of the Constitution of India. If therefore the petitioner has any grievance with respect to a particular quantum of pay which the petitioner as per him ought to have got and not a lesser pay, then, may be the petitioner may have a right, but that right will have to be exercised not by way of filing of a writ petition but by filing of a suit.

2. At this stage, petitioner states that petition be allowed to be

withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to approach the Court of competent jurisdiction by filing of a suit, and accordingly the writ petition is allowed to be withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

DECEMBER 23, 2016
Ne

W.P.(C) No.12129/2016

page 2 of 2