

\$~35

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 4625/2016

BITTO AGGARWAL & ORS.

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Atul Sharma with Mr.
Gagan Kumar Singh, Advs.

versus

STATE & ORS.

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr. Ravi Nayak, APP with SI
Shri Gopal, PS Shakar Pur.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

14.12.2016

%

CrI.M.A. 19301/2016

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

CrI.M.C. 4625/2016

Considering the fact that offences alleged against the petitioner include offence punishable under Section 27 of the Arms Act, which entails minimum punishment, FIR in question i.e. FIR No.400/2008 under Sections 323/341/336/34 IPC read with Section 27 and 30 of Arms Act registered at PS Shakarpur cannot be quashed in view of the decision of the Supreme Court reported as 2012(9) Scale 257: 2012 (10) SCC 303 Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr.

Petition is dismissed.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

DECEMBER 14, 2016

‘v mittal’