

\$~29

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 11243/2016

SANJAY KUMAR & ORS

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kamlesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate.

versus

SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION THROUGH ITS
COMMISSIONER & ORS

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Mukesh Gupta, Standing Counsel
along with Mr. Pranay Jain, Advocate for R-1.
Mr. Varun Nishcal, Advocate for R-2 & 3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SISTANI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD GOEL

ORDER

% **20.12.2016**

Counsel for the petitioner submits at the outset that he has made a representation to the respondent. Copy thereof has been filed at Page No. 179 of the Paper Book. He submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if the representation is decided by the competent authority expeditiously.

The submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is fair and just. Resultantly, we direct the respondent no. 1 to decide the representation of the petitioner within two months from today.

Petition is disposed of in above terms. *Dasti.*

G.S.SISTANI, J

VINOD GOEL, J

DECEMBER 20, 2016

“sk”